UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/810,473      | 08/30/2004                     | Michael J. Berardi   | 03292.101090.4      | 9805             |
|                 | 7590 03/18/200<br>CELLA (AMEX) | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 30 ROCKEFEL     | LER PLAZA                      | KAMAL, SHAHID        |                     |                  |
| NEW YORK, N     | NY 10112                       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                 |                                |                      | 3621                |                  |
|                 |                                |                      |                     |                  |
|                 |                                |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                 |                                |                      | 03/18/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)   |  |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/810,473      | BERARDI ET AL. |  |
|                 |                |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit       |  |

|   | SHAHID KAMAL  | 3621  |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>10 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP   | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | the same day as filing a Notice of A<br>replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance             | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE         | g date of the final rejection   | n.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic                 | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the North AMENITY.</li> </ol>  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the  |  |
| AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, because that would require further cores (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti  | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);   | ΓE below);  |  |
| appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a concern NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |   |   | 10 100 000 101                           |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |   | mpliant Amendment (I  | PTOL-324).                               |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  | ·   | •   | _  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:   |   | i be entered and an ex  | planation of                             |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |   |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se  | al and/or appellant fails<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)                        | s to provide a                           |
| 10.   |   | •   |  |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br/><u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>  | , , , , ,   | condition for allowan   | ce because:                              |
| 12.   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |  |
|   | /EVENS J. AUGUSTIN/<br>Primary Examiner, Art U  |   |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments merely rehash issues addressed in the Final Rejection mailed 12/10/2008 and incorporated herein. Thus the finality of the previous office action (Final Rejection) is maintained.